## Case 5:06-cv-05598-RMW Document 24 Filed 11/17/06 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4	BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP Alan R. Plutzik (Bar No. 077785) L. Timothy Fisher (Bar No. 191626) Kathryn A. Schofield (Bar No. 202939) 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, California 94598 Telephone: (925) 945-0200			
5	Facsimile: (925) 945-8792			
6	SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY LLP Eric L. Zagar			
7	Sandra G. Smith 280 King of Prussia Road			
8	Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706			
9	Facsimile: (610) 667-7056			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14	SUNANDA A. DESAI, as Trustee of and for the SUNANDA A. DESAI REVOCABLE TRUST,	Case No. C06-05598 RMW		
15	Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.,	STIPULATION SETTING		
16	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT		
17	V.			
18	BOBBY R. JOHNSON, JR., LAURENCE L.			
19	AKIN, TIMOTHY D. HEFFNER, KEN K. CHENG, KARL D. TRIEBES, LEE CHEN,			
20	WILLIAM S. KALLAOS, ROBERT W. SHACKLETON, C. NICHOLAS KEATING,			
21	ALFRED J. AMOROSO and J. STEVEN YOUNG,			
22	Defendants,			
23	and,			
24	FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.,			
25	Nominal Defendant.			
26	Nommai Defendant.			
27				
28				

## Case 5:06-cv-05598-RMW Document 24 Filed 11/17/06 Page 2 of 5

]		
1	JEANNE MCDONALD, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant FOUNDRY	Case No.C06-06099 JW
2	NETWORKS, INC.,	
3	Plaintiff,	
4	v.	
5	BOBBY R. JOHNSON, JR., LAURENCE L. AKIN, TIMOTHY D. HEFFNER, KEN K.	
6	CHENG, KARL D. TRIEBES, LEE CHEN,	
7	SHACKLETON, C. NICHOLAS KEATING, ALFRED J. AMOROSO and J. STEVEN	
8	YOUNG,	
9	Defendants,	
10	and	
11	FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.,	
12	Nominal Defendant.	
13	DAVID M. JACKSON, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant FOUNDRY	Plaintiff,  ON, JR., LAURENCE L. D. HEFFNER, KEN K. TRIEBES, LEE CHEN, LAOS, ROBERT W. NICHOLAS KEATING, OSO and J. STEVEN  Defendants,  ORKS, INC.,  Nominal Defendant.  GON, Derivatively on Behalf ant FOUNDRY  Plaintiff,  KIN, ALFRED J. CHEN, KEN K. CHENG, RT, TIMOTHY D. Y R. JOHNSON, C. FING, WILLIAM S. ERT W. SHACKLETON, S., PAUL L. TWOMBLY and IG,  Defendants,
14	NETWORKS, INC.,	
15	Plaintiff,	
16	v.	
17	LAWRENCE L. AKIN, ALFRED J. AMOROSO, LEE CHEN, KEN K. CHENG,	
18	ALAN L. EARHART, TIMOTHY D. HEFFNER, BOBBY R. JOHNSON, C.	
19	NICHOLAS KEATING, WILLIAM S. KALLAOS, ROBERT W. SHACKLETON,	
20	KARL D. TRIEBES, PAUL L. TWOMBLY and J. STEVEN YOUNG,	
21		
22	and	
23	FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.,	
24	1	
25	- Nominal Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT CASE NO. C06-05598 RMW, CASE NO.C06-06099JW, CASE NO.C06-06509SI

WHEREAS, there are three related shareholder derivative actions on behalf of Nominal Defendant Foundry Networks, Inc. ("Foundry") pending in this District (the "Derivative Actions"):

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	Date Filed
Desai v. Johnson, et al. McDonald v. Johnson, et al.	C06-05598 - RMW C06-06099 - JW	September 12, 2006 September 28, 2006
Jackson v. Akin, et al.	C06-06509 - SI	October 18, 2006

WHEREAS, the Derivative Actions arise out of the same transactions and occurrences, involve the same or substantially similar issues of law and fact, name the same or substantially same defendants and, therefore, should be consolidated for all purposes;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants and Nominal Defendant Foundry Networks, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") have met and conferred and have agreed to a schedule for filing a Consolidated Complaint and for Defendants' response to the Consolidated Complaint, including briefing any motion directed at the Consolidated Complaint; and

WHEREAS, the agreed-upon schedule is not for the purpose of delay, promotes judicial efficiency, and will not cause prejudice to any party.

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiffs and Defendants, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall no later than 30 days from the entry of an order consolidating all of the Derivative Actions<sup>1</sup> and any other derivative actions arising out of the same transactions and occurrences, involving the same or substantially similar issues of law and fact, naming the same or substantially same defendants which are filed prior to entry of the consolidation order (including derivative actions filed to date), and appointing lead plaintiff and lead counsel, file and serve a Consolidated Complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in the Derivative Actions. Defendants need not respond to any of the current or pre-existing complaints in the Derivative Actions.
- 2. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint no later than 60 days from the date of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants do not take any position as to the appointment of lead plaintiff or lead counsel.

1	3.	3. In the event that Defendants file and serve any motion directed at the Consolidated	
2	Complaint, Plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition within 30 days after the service of the		
3	motion.		
4	4.	If Defendants file and serve a	reply to Plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so within
5	30 days after service of the opposition.		
6	5.	The parties agree that, by ente	ring into this Stipulation, they have not waived any
7	claim, argument, or defense they may have in this action and that nothing in this Stipulation limit		
8	the rights of any party to take any action not inconsistent with its express terms.		inconsistent with its express terms.
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
10	I, Kathryn A. Schofield, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being		User whose identification and password are being
11	used to file the Stipulation in compliance with General Order 45.X.B, hereby attest that the other		
12	signatories have concurred in this filing.		
13	Dated: Nov	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & RKHAEUSER, LLP
14			,
15		Ву	y: <u>/s/ Kathryn A. Schofield</u> Alan R. Plutzik (Bar No. 077785)
16			L. Timothy Fisher (Bar No. 191626) Kathryn A. Schofield (Bar No. 202939)
17			2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
18			Walnut Creek, California 94598
19			Telephone: (925) 945-0200 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792
20		Co	unsel for Plaintiffs Desai and McDonald
21			
22			SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY, LLP
23			Eric Zagar Robin Winchester
24			Sandra G. Smith 280 King of Prussia Road
25			Radnor, PA 19087
26			Telephone: 610-667-7706
		Co	ounsel for Plaintiffs Desai and McDonald
27			
28	1		

1	Dated: November 9, 2006 LO	VITT & HANNAN, INC.	
2	Ву	: /s/ Ronald Lovitt	
3		Ronald Lovitt (Bar No. 40921) 900 Front Street, Suite 300	
4		San Francisco, CA 94111	
5		Telephone: (415) 362-8769 Facsimile: (415) 362-7528	
6		, ,	
7	Counsel for Plaintiff Jackson		
		KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. Lynn Lincoln Sarko	
8		Juli E. Farris	
9	<b> </b>	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200	
10		Seattle, WA 98101-3052 Telephone: 206-623-1900	
11		•	
12		Counsel for Plaintiff Jackson	
13	Dated: November 9, 2006	DLA PIPER	
14			
	E	By: <u>/s/ Gerard A. Trippitelli</u> Gerard A. Trippitelli	
15		401 B Street, Suite 1700	
16		San Diego, CA 92101-4297	
17		Telephone: (619) 699-2766 Facsimile: (619) 699-2701	
18		<b>,</b>	
19		Counsel for Defendants	
20	*	* *	
21		ORDER	
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23			
24	11/17/06 DATED:	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte	
25	DATED:		
26			
27			
28			
	CENTRAL ACTION OF TENDED OF THE POP EN	INC OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT	